



National **Kidney** Foundation®

National Kidney Foundation (NKF)

# Evaluation of Kidney Health Clinical Quality Measure

Developed by the NKF Quality Measures Technical  
Expert Panel

## Table of Contents

NKF Quality Measures Technical Expert Panel Members.....	3
Introduction .....	4
Measure: Kidney Health Evaluation.....	5
Evidence Classification/Rating Schemes.....	8
References .....	10

## Disclaimer Notice

Physician Performance Measures (Measures) and related data specifications developed by the National Kidney Foundation (NKF) are intended to facilitate quality improvement activities by health care professionals.

This Measure is intended to assist health care professionals in enhancing quality of care. This Measure is not a clinical guideline, does not establish a standard of medical care and has not been tested for all potential applications. NKF encourages testing and evaluation of its Measures.

This Measure is subject to review and may be revised or rescinded at any time by NKF. The Measure may not be altered without prior written approval from NKF. The Measure, while copyrighted, can be reproduced and distributed, without modification, for noncommercial purposes. Commercial use is defined as the sale, license, or distribution of the Measure for commercial gain, or incorporation of the Measure into a product or service that is sold, licensed, or distributed for commercial gain. Commercial uses of the Measure require a license agreement between the user and NKF. Neither NKF nor its members shall be responsible for any use of the Measure.

THIS MEASURE AND SPECIFICATIONS ARE PROVIDED “AS IS” WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND.

©2019 National Kidney Foundation. All Rights Reserved.

Limited proprietary coding is contained in the Measure specifications for convenience. Users of the proprietary code sets should obtain all necessary licenses from the owners of these code sets. The AMA, NKF, the PCPI® Foundation and its members disclaim all liability for use or accuracy of any Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®) or other coding contained in the specifications.

CPT® contained in the Measure specifications is copyright 2004-2018 American Medical Association. LOINC® is copyright 2004-2018 Regenstrief Institute, Inc. This material contains SNOMED CLINICAL TERMS (SNOMED CT®) copyright 2004-2018 International Health Terminology Standards Development Organisation. ICD-10 is copyright 2018 World Health Organization. All Rights Reserved.

## NKF Quality Measures Technical Expert Panel Members

### TEP Chair

Joseph Vassalotti, MD

### TEP Members and Patient Representatives

George Bakris, MD

Kim M. Barbel Johnson, DO, MPA

Andy Baskin, MD

Jeffrey S. Berns, MD

Felicia Hill Briggs, PhD

Robert M Centor, MD, MACP

John Cuddeback, MD, PhD

Chet Fox, MD

Monica Fox

Holly Kramer, MD, MPH

Chris Lamer, PharmD, BCPS, MHS, CDE CAPT

Andy Narva, MD

Janine Reed, RN

Michael Richter, MD

Nilka Rios-Burrows, MPH

Kevin G. Schendel, MD

George Schroeder, MD

Edward Shahady, MD

Sandeep Soman, MD

Duane Sunwold

Katherine R. Tuttle, MD, FASN, FACP

Curtis Warfield

Benjamin Morgan, MD

### NKF Staff

Lesley Hunter

Tonya Saffer, MPH

Elizabeth Montgomery

Kathryn Troy, MPH

### Project Consultants – PCPI Foundation

Beth Bostrom, MPH

Gregory Foakes, MPH

Nadene Chambers, PhD

Courtney Hurt, MSW, LCSW

Elvia Chavarria, MPH

Jamie Lehner, MBA, CAPM

### NCQA Staff

Mary Barton, MD, MPP

Bob Rehm, MBA

Emily Hubbard

Daniel Roman

## Introduction

The National Kidney Foundation (NKF) is focused on improving awareness about the importance of kidney health and the recognition of chronic kidney disease (CKD) as a major public health issue. As part of these efforts, NKF developed this clinical quality measure for reporting and evaluating the routine use of a comprehensive kidney health evaluation in adults diagnosed with diabetes. While maintaining kidney health and preventing or slowing the progression of CKD requires a multi-faceted approach, this clinical quality measure is intended to be a first step toward meeting these goals. Results of a comprehensive kidney health evaluation provide physicians and patients with the structural information on which to base a patient-specific treatment and management plan that may include additional testing, lifestyle changes, pharmacological treatment, and referral to a nephrologist for further evaluation and comanagement. The development of this measure was the result of a large multidisciplinary, multi-stakeholder technical expert panel (TEP) drawing on expertise from governmental, private practice, and health care organization representatives. The TEP was comprised of clinical experts in kidney disease, diabetes, and public health, primary care providers, researchers, persons diagnosed with kidney disease, and medical informaticists.

The kidney health evaluation measure assesses performance of a comprehensive kidney evaluation consisting of uACR and eGFR, in adults aged 18 – 75 years with a diagnosis of diabetes. This measure does not preclude decision-making on behalf of the clinician and the patient, and in no way discourages the use of regular laboratory testing for CKD in patients beyond the age parameters. Patients under 18 years and over 75 years necessitate the same level of care and a comprehensive kidney evaluation, when clinically appropriate.

---

Clinical Quality Measures are not guidelines, nor do they represent or establish a standard of clinical care. Rather, measures assess adherence to evidence-based clinical recommendations.

---

Clinical quality measures are not guidelines, nor do they represent or establish a standard of care. Rather, measures assess adherence to evidence-based clinical recommendations. This clinical quality measure is based on two clinical guidelines from the NKF and the American Diabetes Association (ADA) which explicitly recommended urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio (uACR) and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) laboratory testing in patients with diabetes.

To address the growing burden of CKD across a broader scope of high-risk populations, several variants were discussed in the development of this measure, among them the evaluation of patients with hypertension for CKD. The TEP agreed that evaluating these patients is important, but the evidence in support of this clinical action as a clinical quality measure was insufficient. Ultimately, the TEP agreed to focus on diabetes only and to encourage additional research regarding hypertension and CKD to establish the level of evidence required for a measure of accountability.

## Measure: Kidney Health Evaluation

<b>Measure Description</b>	Percentage of patients aged 18-75 years with a diagnosis of diabetes who received a kidney health evaluation defined by an Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (eGFR) AND Urine Albumin-Creatinine Ratio (uACR) within the 12-month measurement period
<b>Denominator Statement</b>	<p>All patients aged 18-75 years with a diagnosis of diabetes</p> <p>Guidance: This measure assesses performance of a comprehensive kidney evaluation in adults aged 18-75. This measure does not preclude or discourage the use of regular laboratory testing for CKD in patients outside of the age range (patients under 18 years and those over 75 years of age).</p>
<b>Numerator Statement</b>	Patients who received a kidney health evaluation defined by an Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (eGFR) AND Urine Albumin-Creatinine Ratio (uACR) within the 12-month measurement period
<b>Denominator Exclusions</b>	Patients with a diagnosis of End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD); Patients with a diagnosis of Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) Stage 5; Patients who are receiving hospice care
<b>Supporting Guidelines and Other References</b>	<p>The following evidence statements are quoted <u>verbatim</u> from the referenced clinical guidelines and other sources, where applicable:</p> <p>At least once a year, assess urinary albumin (e.g., spot urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio) and estimated glomerular filtration rate in patients with type 1 diabetes with duration of <math>\geq 5</math> years, in all patients with type 2 diabetes, and in all patients with comorbid hypertension. B (American Diabetes Association, 2019)</p> <p>Patients with diabetes should be screened annually for DKD. Initial screening should commence:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 years after the diagnosis of type 1 diabetes; (A) or</li> <li>• From diagnosis of type 2 diabetes. (B)</li> </ul> <p>Screening should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Measurements of urinary albumin-creatinine ratio (ACR) in a spot urine sample; (B)</li> <li>• Measurement of serum creatinine and estimation of GFR. (B) (National Kidney Foundation [NKF], 2007; NKF, 2012)</li> </ul>
<b>Rationale</b>	Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) is a major driver of morbidity, mortality and high healthcare costs in the United States. Currently, 30 million American adults have CKD and millions of others are at increased risk (NKF, 2019), with an estimated population prevalence growing to nearly 17% among Americans aged 30 years and older by the year 2030 (Saran, 2019; Hoerger, 2015). Total Medicare

	<p>spending in 2016 on both CKD and ESRD was over \$114 billion, comprising 23% of total Medicare fee-for-service spending overall with costs increasing exponentially with advancing CKD (Saran, 2019; Golestaneh, 2017). In the US from 2002-2016, the burden of CKD, defined as years of life lost, years living with disability, disability-adjusted life years, and deaths, outpaced changes in the burden of disease for other conditions (Bowe, 2018). Patients with CKD are readmitted to the hospital more frequently than those without diagnosed CKD (Saran, 2019). CKD is the 9th leading cause of death in the US and is the fastest growing non-communicable disease in terms of in burden largely due to death (Hoerger, 2015; Bowe, 2018). This public health issue is driven largely by the impact of diabetes—the most common comorbid risk factor for CKD (Saran, 2019; Bowe, 2018).</p> <p>The intent of this process measure is to improve rates of guideline-concordant kidney health evaluation in patients with diabetes to more consistently identify and potentially treat or delay progression of CKD in this high-risk population. Annual kidney health evaluation in patients with diabetes to determine risk of CKD using estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) and urine albumin creatinine ratio (uACR) is recommended by clinical practice guidelines (ADA, 2018; NKF, 2007; NKF 2012) and has been a focus of various local and national health care quality improvement initiatives, including Healthy People 2020 (United States Renal Data System, 2018). However, performance of these tests in patients with diabetes remains low, with rates that vary across Medicare (41.8%) and private insurers (49.0%) (Saran, 2019). Low rates of detection of CKD in a population of patients with diabetes have been demonstrated to be associated with low patient awareness of their own kidney health status (Szczuch, 2014). Indeed, 90% of individuals with CKD are unaware of their condition due to under-recognition and under-diagnosis (Saran, 2019; Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2019). Currently, an individual’s lifetime probability of developing CKD is relatively high, reaching 54% for someone currently aged 30-49 years (Hoerger, 2015). Regular kidney health evaluations, utilizing both eGFR and uACR, provide an opportunity to improve identification and potential reversal of worsening kidney function, particularly in high risk populations, such as those with diabetes.</p>
--	---

<b>Measure Designation</b>	
<b>Measure Use</b>	Quality Improvement Accountability
<b>Measure Type</b>	Process
<b>Level of Measurement</b>	Individual Practitioner Group Practice
<b>Care Setting</b>	Outpatient
<b>Improvement Notation</b>	Higher score indicates better quality

<b>CMS Meaningful Measures Area(s) addressed</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li><input type="checkbox"/> Promote Effective Communication and Coordination of Care</li><li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Promote Effective Prevention and Treatment of Chronic Disease</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Work with Communities to Promote Best Practices of Healthy Living</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Make Care Affordable</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Make Care Safer by Reducing Harm Caused by Delivery of Care</li><li><input type="checkbox"/> Strengthen Person and Family Engagement as Partners in their Care</li></ul>
--	--

## Evidence Classification/Rating Schemes

### ADA evidence-grading system for “Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes”

Level of Evidence	Description
A	Clear evidence from well-conducted, generalizable randomized controlled trials that are adequately powered, including <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Evidence from a well-conducted multicenter trial</li><li>• Evidence from a meta-analysis that incorporated quality ratings in the analysis</li></ul>
	Compelling nonexperimental evidence, i.e., “all or none” rule developed by the Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine at the University of Oxford  Supportive evidence from well-conducted randomized controlled trials that are adequately powered, including <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Evidence from a well-conducted trial at one or more institutions</li><li>• Evidence from a meta-analysis that incorporated quality ratings in the analysis</li></ul>
B	Supportive evidence from well-conducted cohort studies <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Evidence from a well-conducted prospective cohort study or registry</li><li>• Evidence from a well-conducted meta-analysis of cohort studies</li></ul>
	Supportive evidence from a well-conducted case-control study
C	Supportive evidence from poorly controlled or uncontrolled studies <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Evidence from randomized clinical trials with one or more major or three or more minor methodological flaws that could invalidate the results</li><li>• Evidence from observational studies with high potential for bias (such as case series with comparison with historical controls)</li><li>• Evidence from case series or case reports</li></ul>
	Conflicting evidence with the weight of evidence supporting the recommendation
E	Expert consensus or clinical experience

## Grade for Quality of Evidence in the KDOQI Diabetes and CKD Guideline

<b>Grade</b>	<b>Quality of Evidence</b>	<b>Meaning</b>
A	High	We are confident that the true effect lies close to that of the estimate of the effect.
B	Moderate	The true effect is likely to be close to the estimate of the effect, but there is a possibility that it is substantially different.
C	Low	The true effect may be substantially different from the estimate of the effect.
D	Very Low	The estimate of effect is very uncertain, and often will be far from the truth.

## References

- American Diabetes Association. (2018). *Microvascular Complications and Foot Care: Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes—2019*. Retrieved from [http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/42/Supplement\\_1/S124](http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/42/Supplement_1/S124)
- National Kidney Foundation. (2007). *KDOQI Clinical practice guidelines and clinical practice recommendations for diabetes and chronic kidney disease*. Retrieved from [https://www.kidney.org/sites/default/files/docs/diabetes\\_ajkd\\_febsuppl\\_07.pdf](https://www.kidney.org/sites/default/files/docs/diabetes_ajkd_febsuppl_07.pdf)
- National Kidney Foundation. (2012). *KDOQI Clinical practice guideline for diabetes and CKD: 2012 update*. Retrieved from <http://www.kidney.org/sites/default/files/docs/diabetes-ckd-update-2012.pdf>
- National Kidney Foundation (2019). *About Chronic Kidney Disease*. Retrieved from <https://www.kidney.org/atoz/content/about-chronic-kidney-disease>
- Saran R RB, Abbott KC, et al., (2019). US Renal Data System 2018 Annual Data Report: Epidemiology of Kidney Disease in the United States. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*, 2019;73(3):Svii-Sxxii.
- Hoerger, T. J., Simpson, S. A., Yarnoff, B. O., Pavkov, M. E., Burrows, N. R., Saydah, S. H., . . . Zhuo, X. (2015). The Future Burden of CKD in the United States: A Simulation Model for the CDC CKD Initiative. *American Journal of Kidney Diseases*, 65(3), 403-411. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2014.09.023
- Golestaneh L, Alvarez PJ, Reaven NL, Funk SE, McGaughey KJ, Romero A, Brenner MS, Onuigbo M (2017). All-cause costs increase exponentially with increased chronic kidney disease stage. *American Journal of Managed Care*, 23(10),S163-s172.
- Bowe, B., Xie, Y., Li, T., Mokdad, A. H., Xian, H., Yan, Y., . . . Al-Aly, Z. (2018). Changes in the US Burden of Chronic Kidney Disease From 2002 to 2016. *JAMA Network Open*, 1(7).
- United States Renal Data System. (2018). *Healthy People 2020*. Retrieved from <https://www.usrds.org/2018/view/HP2020.aspx>
- Szczech, L. A., Stewart, R. C., Su, H., Deloskey, R. J., Astor, B. C., Fox, C. H., . . . Vassalotti, J. A. (2014). Primary Care Detection of Chronic Kidney Disease in Adults with Type-2 Diabetes: The ADD-CKD Study (Awareness, Detection and Drug Therapy in Type 2 Diabetes and Chronic Kidney Disease). *PLoS ONE*, 9(11).
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. *Chronic Kidney Disease in the United States*. (2019). Retrieved from: <https://www.cdc.gov/kidneydisease/publications-resources/2019-national-facts.html>.